

By Cassandra Anderson  
October 19, 2010



The United Nations has ensnared the world in voluntary treaties intended to become mandatory later, tricking politicians and the public. The treaties may be vague and open to interpretation over time, using a tactic known as 'incrementalism'. These treaties affect many branches of government. The treaties are designed to deindustrialize and economically break nations, restrict energy resources (especially for electricity and transportation) and implement taxation schemes based on manipulated science from the UN (the EPA has authority to implement Cap-and-Trade).

### **EVIL DECEPTIONS**

Agenda 21 Sustainable Development was introduced at the 1992 [Earth Summit](#) ; it is not a treaty but uses treaties as a tool to implement its action plan for depopulation and total control. Michael Shaw has described Agenda 21 as the head of a monster whose tentacles have infiltrated all levels of government, affecting almost all areas of life, and he says that if you take out the head of the monster (Agenda 21), its tentacles will wither.

The UN avoids using the word 'treaty'. Most people understand that treaties erode national

sovereignty and power. Voluntary treaties can be used to slide a foot in the door in order to trample sovereignty later through mandatory regulations.

Conventions, Protocols, Agreements, Covenants and Accords take the form of a treaty, which may be binding international legal instruments or may become binding later when negotiations are completed.

An Initiative is a type of referendum in which a proposal is placed on the ballot by way of petition.

A Declaration does not have any legal power to enforce compliance but relies on the moral weight it carries.

[UNFCCC](#) : UN Framework Convention on Climate Change is a voluntary treaty ratified by the US in 1992 following the Earth Summit; no limits were set for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, but the treaty provided for updates (protocols) to set mandatory limits.

The Kyoto Protocol is an amendment to the UNFCCC which is legally binding but the US never ratified this treaty. The Kyoto Protocol expires in 2012, hence the push to ratify it, or a similar treaty like the Copenhagen Accord.

### SCARY POLITICAL POLICIES



According to [Richard Courtney's article](#) (May 1999) the real danger of global warming is the extreme response that politicians have had to imaginary global warming. Courtney says that the theory of global warming was developed during the Industrial Revolution in the 1880's, but remained relatively obscure until 1979 when Margaret Thatcher became the Prime Minister of the United Kingdom.

Courtney's article explains that Thatcher desired respect and followed the advice of Sir Crispin Tickell, [depopulation](#) advocate and UK ambassador to the UN. He pointed out that she could gain international credibility if she promoted the global warming (GW) deception because most politicians are scientifically illiterate (Thatcher holds an undergraduate degree in chemistry). The benefits to the Thatcher Administration GW policies were:

- GW fear was used to weaken US power- if all other countries enacted carbon taxes and industrial reductions they could pressure and gain benefit over the US.
- Thatcher belonged to the Conservative Party that held a grudge against the National Union of Mineworkers who were blamed for the Party's prior defeats, so GW provided an excuse shut down many coal mines and eliminate the miners' political power.
- Nuclear energy emits no carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and many coal plants were replaced with nuclear power plants. Nuclear power, which presents its own risks, was 4 times as expensive as coal-fired electricity.
- The new nuclear power plants were necessary to produce nuclear weapons.

Thatcher established the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research, the operating agency for the UN IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). Hadley is located at East Anglia University, the focal point of the ['Climategate'](#) scandal.

### RETURN OF THE GLOBAL WARMING ZOMBIE



EPA

UN global warming policies refuse to die because the EPA enforces them and endorses UN IPCC "science". The EPA created the first Cap-and-Trade scheme for acid rain by expanding the Clean Air Act in 1990 that generates billions a year in taxes for a problem that is [not serious](#) and this is the model for carbon taxation.

The EPA, through the Clean Air Act and a broad Supreme Court case ruling in 2007, has authority over air pollution that endangers public health. In 2009 the EPA came out with the CO2 'endangerment finding' that determined CO2 threatens public health! Therefore, the EPA appears to have the authority to regulate harmless CO2 and other minor greenhouse gas emissions ( [Texas is suing the EPA](#) ). The EPA has an 18,000 page document with new regulations that includes a Cap-and-Trade scheme, which is the back-up plan because the national bills for Cap-and-Trade failed.

The EPA and other federal agencies have received money from the taxpayer funded \$787 billion Recovery Act stimulus program to pursue useless green policies. Over [\\$7 billion](#) dollars has been allocated to the EPA directly; the total amount for all agencies pursuing restrictions on electricity and transportation, in the name of the environment, is not known.

### **DOE**

The Department of Energy (DOE) has received over [\\$30 billion](#) from the Recovery Act stimulus program. The DOE is spending this money on perpetuating bad UN policies that include:

Energy Efficiency- this paves the way for licensing your home for energy efficiency [\(HR 2454\)](#) .

Modernizing the Electric Grid- this program institutes the "Smart Grid" program that monitors your energy usage: how much is used, when it is used, and it can even track some appliances. Some people fear that the government will use the grid to remotely control personal energy usage. Here's a map of the DOE's consolidation of federal grids across America:



## Top 25 Climate Change Funders, 2008

Foundation Name	State	Amount	%	No.	%
1. William and Flora Hewlett Foundation	CA	\$522,942,703	61.4	83	3.1
2. David and Lucile Packard Foundation	CA	63,545,985	7.5	89	3.3
3. Rockefeller Foundation	NY	37,255,400	4.4	58	2.2
4. Lincy Foundation	CA	15,000,000	1.8	1	0.0
5. Kresge Foundation	MI	13,095,000	1.5	17	0.6
6. Skoll Foundation	CA	13,060,000	1.5	4	0.1
7. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation	NJ	10,556,761	1.2	7	0.3
8. John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation	IL	9,306,000	1.1	20	0.7
9. Richard and Rhoda Goldman Fund	CA	8,216,000	1.0	54	2.0
10. Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation	CA	7,550,830	0.9	17	0.6
11. Ford Foundation	NY	7,389,293	0.9	37	1.4
12. Kendeda Fund	DE	7,083,000	0.8	20	0.7
13. Joyce Foundation	IL	6,894,035	0.8	19	0.7
14. Rockefeller Brothers Fund	NY	6,465,580	0.8	46	1.7
15. Sea Change Foundation	CA	6,255,000	0.7	7	0.3
16. California Endowment	CA	6,132,499	0.7	22	0.8
17. Richard King Mellon Foundation	PA	5,055,000	0.6	2	0.1
18. Surdna Foundation	NY	4,355,000	0.5	40	1.5
19. McKnight Foundation	MN	3,904,000	0.5	6	0.2
20. New York Community Trust	NY	3,088,000	0.4	41	1.5
21. Cleveland Foundation	OH	3,002,723	0.4	27	1.0
22. Nathan Cummings Foundation	NY	2,931,800	0.3	21	0.8
23. PG&E Corporation Foundation	CA	2,915,000	0.3	12	0.4
24. Doris Duke Charitable Foundation	NY	2,657,600	0.3	9	0.3
25. Blue Moon Fund	VA	2,638,829	0.3	22	0.8
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>\$771,296,038</b>	<b>90.5</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>25.4</b>
All other funders		81,063,850	9.5	2,000	74.6
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$852,359,888</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>2,681</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Source: The Foundation Center, 2009. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,490 of the largest U.S. foundations.

<http://www.fundations.org/pressroom/pressrelease.cfm?id=114>