

By Cassandra Anderson
June 30, 2011



The Supreme Court ruled on June 20, 2011 that the power to regulate greenhouse gas emissions (primarily carbon) [rests](#) with the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), saying that the EPA is better equipped to deal with the science of greenhouse gases (aka global warming, climate change and CO2). The Clean Air Act has been expanded and the EPA is in a power grab for jurisdiction over vehicle emissions and is now planning control over utilities.

EPA enforcement over utilities will cause the following problems:

- Skyrocketing heating (oil & gas) and electricity bills (click [here](#) to see Obama admit this)
- Job killing restrictions on energy production
- Blackouts caused by unreliable "green" energy (wind & solar)
- Import of energy causing dependency on other countries
- Increase in dangerous and expensive nuclear energy
- Energy regulations dictated by UN Agenda 21 Sustainable Development
- Cap-and-trade schemes and carbon credits will apply to ALL items that are produced, so there will be higher prices for EVERYTHING!

The key issues in EPA regulation of greenhouse gases are:

1. The EPA lacks Constitutional authority to regulate harmless carbon dioxide.
2. The global warming theory is wrong and based in corrupt science.
3. The UN directs global warming policies.

AGENDA 21

In order to understand this issue, one must comprehend [Agenda 21](#) , which is the action plan for the UN's Sustainable Development program- the blueprint for depopulation and total control.

Global warming phony science is generated out of the UK's [Hadley Center](#) in East Anglia, that houses the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). This is also the seat of the 'Climategate' scandal that thoroughly discredited their carbon lies and flawed science.



Agenda 21 Sustainable Development has infiltrated every level of government. Federal Policy is directly affected; President Clinton created the President's Council on Sustainable Development ([PCSD](#)) in 1993 and includes every federal agency, which states:

"The Council **should not debate the science of global warming**, but should instead focus on the implementation of national and local greenhouse gas reduction policies and activities, and

adaptations in the U.S. economy and society that maximize environmental and social benefits, minimize economic impacts,

and are consistent with U.S. international agreements

."

As you can see, science and truth are immaterial, the objective is to implement these destructive laws.

SUPREME COURT RULING 2011

Connecticut v American Electric Power: Five states including Connecticut, Iowa, New York, Rhode Island and Vermont (New Jersey and Wisconsin dropped out) and several land trusts sued five major coal producing utilities in 2004. The land trusts include the Open Spaces Institute- a George Soros [operation](#) and the Audubon Society, a United Nations accredited non-governmental organization that is [preoccupied](#) with depopulation. The plaintiffs were the aggressors in this case trying to get the courts to force businesses to adopt UN policies.

If states can regulate and have the power of enforcement, why did they sue? Because they were trying to force their globalist ideas for control beyond their state lines.

Obama appeared to contradict himself by throwing his support behind the electric companies in this case, however, his motivation appears to be consolidating power under Executive control through the EPA, as opposed to the Judicial branch in individual court cases.

[ALL](#) of the states who participated in this lawsuit are signatories to treasonous international UN inspired treaties.

SUPREME COURT RULING 2007

